Attorney Docket No.: 9537-3 Application Serial No.: 10/658,932 Filed: September 9, 2003 Page 2 of 13

This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions in the application.

Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Previously Presented) An implantable prosthesis of shape generally similar to that of a spinal intervertebral disc, comprised of a biocompatible elastomer with a compressive modulus of elasticity less than about 100 megaPascals, with an ultimate strength in tension generally greater than about 100 kiloPascals, that exhibits the flexibility to allow at least 2 degrees of rotation between the top and bottom faces with torsions of at least about 0.01 N-m without failing.
- 2. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the device has an ultimate compressive strength sufficient to withstand a compressive load greater than 1 MegaPascals.
- 3. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the material used for the device has an ultimate strength in tension greater than about 5 MPa.
- 4. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the device is made of a single solid elastomeric material.
- 5. (Currently Amended) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the elastomer has a compressive modulus of elasticity of at least about greater than 1.0 MPa.
- 6. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the elastomer has a compressive modulus of elasticity less than 20 MPa.
- 7. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the device has a compressive modulus of elasticity less than about 10 MPa and greater than about 200 KPa.

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 3 of 13

- 8. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the elastomer has a compressive modulus of elasticity that is not constant.
- 9. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the delivered size of the prosthesis can expand at least 5% in at least one dimension over one day, in saline.
- 10. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the delivered size of the prosthesis can expand at least 50% in at least one dimension in vivo without injection of material.
- 11. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the delivered size of the prosthesis can expand at least 20% over one day in at least one dimension in vivo and can generate a cranial-caudal force of greater than about 1 Newton.
- 12. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim I wherein the delivered size of the prosthesis can expand at least 100% by a combination of springs and elastomeric components.
- 13. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 the elastomer defines an exposed surface that is modified to provide specific surface characteristics.
- 14. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface characteristics are physically or biochemically modified to provide enhanced adhesion to a vertebral body.
- 15. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface includes a fabric.

Attorney Docket No.: 9537-3 Application Serial No.: 10/658,932 Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 4 of 13

- 16. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface includes a metal solid or mesh.
- 17. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface includes a porous structure with undercuts.
- 18. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface includes a rough surface greater than 5 nanometers.
- 19. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 13 wherein the surface includes a bioactive molecule.
- 20. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the surface characteristics of the prosthesis allow cellular ingrowth.
- 21. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein surface characteristics of the elastomer are biochemically modified to provide enhanced water transport.
- 22. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein surface characteristics of the prosthesis are physically modified to provide enhanced chemical transport.
- 23. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the device is a unitary non-articulating plateless body made of a single solid elastomer with a compressive modulus of elasticity between about 0.2 and 10 megaPascals with tab extensions for fixation to the adjacent vertebral bodies.

Filed: September 9, 2003 Page 5 of 13

- 24. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the disc is composed of a material that contains a ring of continuous fiber.
- 25. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 that contains appendages to allow for physical attachment to the vertebral body and to prevent dislodgement in situ.
 - 26. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the material is a cryogel.
- 27. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the material is a composite material composed of more than one substance.
- 28. (Original) A prosthesis according to Claim 1 that is a permanent implantable medical device.
- 29. (Currently Amended) A sterile prosthesis according to Claim 1 wherein the body is manufactured as an oval or kidney shape for use as a spinal disc prosthesis that substantially corresponds to a shape of a human spinal disc, expands at least about 20% in height when placed in Normal saline solutions, has exposed fibers on the cranial and caudal surfaces, has a unitary non-articulating solid body, with the biocompatible elastomer having a compressive modulus of elasticity between about 1.5MPa and about 10 MPa, an ultimate compressive and tensile strength greater than about 1 MPa, an ultimate tensile stretch greater than about [[25%]] 15% in at least one direction, and comprises fabric extensions from the body for attachment to sides of a vertebrae.

30-33. (Canceled)

34. (Previously Presented) An implantable spinal disc body having a superior surface and an inferior surface joined by a circumferential surface comprised of a biocompatible

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 6 of 13

elastomer with a compressive modulus of elasticity less than about 100 megaPascals and an ultimate strength in tension greater than about 100 kiloPascals.

- 35. (Previously Presented) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34 wherein the implantable spinal disc superior and inferior surfaces are substantially that of a kidney corresponding to a human spinal intervertebral disc shape, shaped and formed by an extended oval surface and an indented surface, and wherein the cross-section of the implantable spinal disc is substantially rectangular.
- 36. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34, wherein the periphery of the superior and inferior surfaces is substantially flat.
- 37. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34, wherein the superior and inferior surfaces have a roughness index of between about 1 nm and about 2 mm in height.
- 38. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 37, wherein the circumferential surface has a roughness index of less than 1 mm.
- 39. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34, wherein the implantable spinal disc body is at least partially surrounded by an attachment extension member having a plurality of superior and inferior tabs connected to a band member for attachment of the implantable spinal disc to adjacent superior and inferior vertebral surfaces, respectively.
- 40. (Previously Presented) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34, wherein the superior and inferior surfaces are covered with a surface treatment to promote attachment to adjacent vertebral bodies, and wherein the disc body is a plateless unitary body defined by a freeze-thaw hydrogel.

Attorney Docket No.: 9537-3 Application Serial No.: 10/658,932 Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 7 of 13

- 41. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34, wherein the superior and inferior surfaces are provided with a plurality of pores to promote tissue ingrowth.
- 42. (Previously Presented) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 34 wherein an anterior portion of the implantable spinal disc body is of greater thickness than a posterior portion,
- 43. (Previously Presented) An implantable spinal disc body of a biocompatible elastomer material having a compressive modulus of elasticity that is less than about 100 megaPascals and an ultimate strength in tension greater than about 100 kiloPascals, the body comprising;

a substantially concave superior surface having a substantially flat periphery surface; a substantially convex inferior surface having substantially flat periphery; the superior and inferior surfaces being joined by a circumferential surface; and the implantable spinal disc body being further characterized as being of a kidney shape formed by an extended oval surface and an indented portion, having a substantially rectangular cross-section, and having an anterior portion of greater thickness than a posterior portion.

- 44. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 43 wherein the superior and inferior surfaces have a roughness index of between about 1 nm and about 2 mm in height and the circumferential surface has a roughness index of less than 1 mm.
- 45. (Original) The implantable spinal disc body of claim 43 further comprising: an attachment extension band member at least partially surrounding the circumferential surface of the implantable spinal disc body; and

a plurality of superior and inferior tabs extending from said attachment extension band member for attachment of the implantable spinal disc body to adjacent superior and inferior vertebral surfaces, respectively.

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 8 of 13

- 46. (Previously Presented) A prosthesis according to Claim 4, wherein the device is a plateless non-articulating unitary body.
- 47. (Previously Presented) The implantable spinal disc according to Claim 43, wherein the device has a non-articulating passively expandable unitary body of freeze-thaw cryogel that defines a core and annulus of the spinal disc implant.
- 48. (Previously Presented) An implantable spinal disc having a flexible unitary non-articulating solid body, the unitary body having a nucleus and annulus that are both defined by a crystalline PVA hydrogel, the unitary body having a shape generally similar to that of a human spinal intervertebral disc, wherein the crystalline PVA hydrogel has a compressive modulus of elasticity that is between about 1 MegaPascal to about 20 MegaPascals, and an ultimate tensile and compressive strength of at least about 100 kiloPascals.
- 49. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, further comprising a mesh ring attached to an axially extending circumferential surface of the unitary body.
- 50. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the mesh ring comprises a mesh fabric.
- 51. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, further comprising a porous material attached to superior (top) and inferior (bottom) surfaces of the unitary body to allow for tissue ingrowth from adjacent vertebral tissue *in situ*.
- 52. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body is configured to passively axially expand in situ by at least about 10% over time.
 - 53. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body is

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 9 of 13

configured to passively axially expand in situ between about 20% to about 40% over at least about 24 hours.

- 54. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 53, wherein the unitary body is configured to expand in height ex vivo about 50% over about 24 hours when placed in a bath of Normal saline.
- 55. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body has anisotropic elasticity.
- 56. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body has substantially the same durometer for locations proximate the nucleus and the annulus.
- 57. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, further comprising at least one inferior tab and at least one superior tab extending from the unitary body.
- 58. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 52, further comprising a polyester fabric attached to the upper and lower surfaces of the unitary body.
- 59. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the crystalline PVA hydrogel is devoid of structural reinforcement and is defined by a freeze-thaw PVA hydrogel.
- 60. (Currently Amended) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body has a compressive modulus of elasticity of at least about [[10]] 2 MPa, and an ultimate strength in tension and compression of a least about 1 MPa to thereby provide a relatively compliant body that has sufficient elasticity to allow flexible motion between vertebrae and act as a mechanical shock absorber.

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 10 of 13

- 61. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 60, wherein the unitary body has a mechanical ultimate strength in compression of at least about 10 MPa.
- 62. (Previously Presented) A disc according to Claim 48, wherein the unitary body has opposing top and bottom faces, and wherein the unitary body can withstand between about 2-10 degrees of rotation between the top and bottom faces with torsions of between about 0.1 N-m to about 1 N-m.
- 63. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis having a solid unitary body consisting essentially of a non-reinforced freeze-thaw PVA cryogel that defines a core and annulus, wherein the body has a compressive modulus of elasticity that is less than 100 MegaPascals and greater than about 0.1 MegaPascals, and an ultimate tensile strength that is greater than about 100 kiloPascals.
- 64. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, wherein the unitary body has compressive modulus of elasticity that is between about 0.1 MegaPascal to about 10 MegaPascals.
- 65. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 64, wherein the unitary body has an ultimate stretch in at least one direction of at least about 15%.
- 66. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, wherein the body is unbounded on upper and lower surfaces to allow for axial expansion of about 20% when placed in a Normal saline solution for about 24 hours.

Filed: September 9, 2003

Page 11 of 13

- 67. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, wherein the unitary body has opposing top and bottom faces, and wherein the unitary body can withstand at least about 2 degrees of rotation between the top and bottom faces with torsions of at least about 0.1 N-m without failing.
- 68. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 67, wherein the unitary body can withstand between about 2 degrees to at least about 10 degrees of rotation between the top and bottom faces with torsions between about 0.1 N-m to about 1 N-m without failing.
- 69. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, further comprising a non-metallic mesh sleeve on an axially extending surface thereof.
- 70. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, wherein the unitary body has anisotropic elasticity.
- 71. (Previously Presented) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, further comprising a plurality of axially extending tabs of that are attached to the unitary body and extend beyond upper and lower bounds of the unitary body in the axial direction.
- 72. (New) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 63, further comprising a mesh material disposed on at least one surface of the solid body.
- 73. (New) A spinal disc prosthesis according to Claim 72, wherein, in position, the mesh material is affixed to vertebral bone.